

Preventing medical adhesive-related skin injury (Marsi): best practice and the role of sterile medical adhesive removers

This meeting report is based on a 'Made Easy' session that took place at the Wounds UK Annual Conference in Harrogate, on 10 November 2025. The session and meeting report were supported by an educational grant from CliniMed Ltd.

The session was opened by Samantha Holloway (Reader, Programme Director, Masters in Wound Healing and Tissue Repair, Centre for Medical Education, School of Medicine, Cardiff University) and Fiona Downie (Senior Lecturer, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK), who outlined the learning objectives:

- Define Marsi and differentiate it from other skin injuries
- Identify risk factors contributing to Marsi
- Discuss the clinical impact of Marsi on patient outcomes
- Outline practical strategies for prevention, particularly the use of sterile medical adhesive removers such as *Appeel® Sterile*.

Throughout the session, one message remained consistent: every dressing matters, every dressing removal matters, and preventing Marsi begins with "I" — individual clinical responsibility.

What is a medical adhesive-related skin injury (Marsi)?

Medical adhesives are used in virtually every area of healthcare, from wound dressings and

vascular access devices to ECG electrodes and medication patches (Hofman, 2023). Their role in stabilisation, protection and securement is indispensable. Yet the removal, repositioning and repeated application of adhesive products also pose a significant but often overlooked risk: medical adhesive-related skin injury (Marsi).

A Marsi is defined as skin damage resulting from the removal of products containing a medical adhesive, including tapes, dressings, electrodes, wound closure strips and medication patches (Fumarola et al, 2020). It can result in [Figure 1]:

- Skin stripping
- Skin tear
- Tension blister
- Non-allergic irritant contact dermatitis
- Allergic dermatitis
- Folliculitis.

Mechanical trauma, particularly skin stripping during adhesive removal, is the most common mechanism of injury.

Despite its frequency, Marsi remains under-recognised and inconsistently reported. During the Made Easy session, real-time polling revealed that almost one-third of attendees were unaware of Marsi or uncertain whether they had encountered it, highlighting a persistent gap in clinical awareness.

Samantha Holloway

Reader, Programme Director, Masters in Wound Healing and Tissue Repair, Centre for Medical Education, School of Medicine, Cardiff University

Fiona Downie

Senior Lecturer, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK

Key words

- Fragile skin
- Medical adhesive-related skin injury (Marsi)
- *Appeel® Sterile*



Figure 1: Types of Marsi include skin stripping (image courtesy of Fiona Downie), skin tears, tension blisters, non-allergic irritant contact dermatitis, allergic dermatitis (image courtesy of Jacqui Fletcher) and folliculitis (Fumarola et al, 2020; Wounds UK, 2023)

Declarations

The symposium and report were supported by CliniMed Ltd.

Epidemiology and risk factors

Understanding the scale of the problem requires distinguishing between prevalence and incidence:

- **Prevalence** refers to the proportion of a population with a specific condition (new and existing) at a particular point in time or over a defined period
- **Incidence** reflects the number of new cases of a condition that develop in a population during a specific time period.

Prevalence and incidence

Most epidemiological evidence on MARSI originates from acute care environments, particularly paediatric and adult intensive care units. Consequently, there is a clear need for further research to establish prevalence and incidence in primary and community care, where adhesive use is also extensive but less well studied.

Across available literature, MARSI appears widely prevalent, although reported rates vary according to patient vulnerability and intensity of adhesive use. Studies demonstrate:

- **Adult acute care:** prevalence 3.4–25% (mean 13%; Farris et al, 2015)
- **Paediatric ICU:** incidence 58.3 per 100 patients; 24.6 MARSI per 1000 adhesive-use days (Kim et al, 2019)
- **Pooled prevalence across settings:** 21%, ranging from 10.96% to 42% (Bakhamees et al, 2024).

Critical care populations

High-acuity areas demonstrate disproportionately elevated MARSI risk:

- Among 430 adult ICU patients, incidence reached 11.86%, with more than 70% of cases involving epidermal stripping (Gao et al, 2020)
- Systematic reviews identify advanced age, fragile skin and prolonged hospitalisation as key predictors of MARSI in adult ICUs (Bakhamees et al, 2024)
- Paediatric ICU studies report high rates of epidermal stripping and skin tears; independent risk factors include oedema, hyperthermia, infection, immunosuppression and age ≤ 2 years (Şensoy et al, 2025; Wang et al, 2019).

Clinical impact of MARSI

MARSI compromises more than the skin's surface. Its consequences extend to physical, emotional and economic domains (Collier, 2019).

Physical consequences

Patients frequently experience pain, burning, irritation and discomfort. Skin injury can delay

healing, increase the risk of infection and create new wounds requiring management. In patients who are already vulnerable, such as older adults, neonates or those with chronic illness, even minor injuries can escalate into significant complications.

Psychological impact

Patients often remember MARSI vividly. They may experience anxiety, reduced trust and heightened fear around dressing changes. Sleep disruption, reduced appetite and distress are common, especially in children and older adults. Clinicians may also experience moral distress when a preventable injury occurs.

Skin integrity and mechanisms of injury

Preventing MARSI begins with understanding skin integrity. The skin is a complex organ, functioning as a mechanical, immunological and chemical barrier. Its outermost layer, the stratum corneum, is composed of flattened corneocytes surrounded by lipid bilayers that act as a water-resistant seal. Natural Moisturising Factor (NMF), a mixture of amino acids, urea and other hygroscopic molecules helps retain moisture and maintain flexibility. The skin's surface pH, typically around 4.5, ensures an environment compatible with lipid-processing enzymes and hostile to pathogens (Moncrieff et al, 2015).

When these protective features are compromised by age, illness, dryness, inflammation, dehydration or medication, the skin becomes more susceptible to injury from mechanical forces such as traction, stripping and shear. Adhesive removal is a particular risk point. Gentle peeling can remove layers of the stratum corneum if the skin is fragile or dry, or if the adhesive bond is stronger than the skin's tensile capacity.

Diagnosis and differential diagnosis

Accurate diagnosis requires distinguishing MARSI from other commonly encountered skin conditions. Misclassification is frequent and can lead to inappropriate management.

MARSI is a skin injury directly associated with the application or removal of medical adhesives. It can be distinguished from other types of skin injuries as follows:

- **Pressure ulcers:** caused by sustained pressure and ischaemia, not adhesives
- **Allergic dermatitis:** typically localised to the adhesive site, whereas systemic allergic responses extend beyond this area
- **Incontinence-associated dermatitis:** results from prolonged exposure to urine or faeces (moisture-associated skin damage), not adhesive use.

Assessment

Comprehensive skin assessment is important for early recognition. Clinicians should examine skin temperature, colour and moisture balance, while also considering fragility, elasticity and overall integrity. See **Table 2** for key assessment elements and **Table 3** for MARSI documentation requirements.

Risk assessment

Risk assessment should begin at first contact and continue throughout care, as a patient's vulnerability can change quickly. Common risk factors include:

- Extremes of age (neonates; frail older adults; see **Box 1**)
- Fragile, inflamed or dermatologically compromised skin
- Repeated application and removal of adhesives
- Excess moisture, perspiration or exudate
- Oedema, fever or infection
- Critical illness and prolonged ICU stay
- Chronic diseases (e.g. diabetes, cardiovascular disease)
- Device-dependent patients (e.g. PICC lines, stomas, PEG tubes)
- Medications (particularly steroids or agents that impair healing).

Preventing MARSI: Translating knowledge into practice

Preventing MARSIs is straightforward in principle: clinicians must first recognise MARSI as an avoidable harm, then apply consistent, evidence-based strategies to minimise risk. Prevention rests on four core pillars:

1. Systematic risk assessment
2. Judicious use of adhesives
3. Maintenance of skin integrity
4. Safe, atraumatic removal techniques.

Table 2. Key elements of a skin assessment.

Visually inspect. Palpation may support assessment where appropriate

Assess the skin for:

- Temperature
- Colour
- Moisture level
- Turgor
- Fragility
- Integrity

Look for signs of irritation/damage, such as erythema, irritation, maceration or early epidermal lifting

Ensure all findings are clearly documented

Table 3. Key elements of MARSI documentation.

Document:	Description
Cause (where known)	Identify underlying cause (e.g. mechanical trauma, dermatitis, other) to guide appropriate management
Location	Record the precise anatomical site to differentiate from other skin injuries and support monitoring
Type	Classify the injury to inform intervention selection and facilitate consistent reporting
Size and severity	Measure and describe the extent of injury; photographic documentation is recommended when possible
Referral	Notify and refer to the wound care or Tissue Viability team for assessment or escalation when required
Incident reporting	Record within incident-tracking systems to support harm reduction, prevalence monitoring and quality improvement

Box 1. Effect of extremes of age on MARSI.

Neonates and premature infants

- Neonates, particularly premature infants with a very low birth weight (<1500g) or extremely low birth weight (<1000g), have fragile, underdeveloped skin that is easily traumatised
- At full term, skin is only 60% of adult thickness and less elastic, making it more susceptible to shear-related damage (Irving et al, 2006)
- This underdeveloped barrier leads to high water loss, increased permeability, and greater infection risk.
- Intensive medical care, while essential, can inadvertently damage the skin
- Adhesives used for dressings, electrode tape or device securement, such as for NG tubes, combined with oxygen therapy and monitoring equipment may further increase injury risk.

Older adults

- Older adults are more prone to skin barrier breakdown due to natural ageing
- Ageing skin is thinner, more fragile, and requires less force to injure compared with healthy adult skin (Voegeli, 2007)
- Vulnerability increases with age-related changes such as atrophy, ecchymosis, senile purpura, haematomas, stellate pseudoscars and photodamage
- Dry skin (xerosis) and pruritus heighten the risk of mechanical trauma from scratching
- Ageing and co-morbidities also reduce moisture, decrease sensation, and delay wound healing, further increasing injury risk (Moncrieff et al, 2015).

In practice, clinicians should:

- Use adhesive dressings and devices only when clinically necessary
- Consider alternative methods of securement where appropriate (e.g. bandages or retention garments)
- Confirm whether the patient has any known sensitivities or allergies to product components.

Preventing MARSI therefore begins with a conscious decision about whether an adhesive is required, followed by careful selection of the least traumatic product that will still achieve clinical goals.

Maintaining skin integrity

Maintaining skin integrity is equally important. Application of appropriate barrier preparations can help preserve hydration, protect the epidermis and support adherence, particularly in patients with fragile or compromised skin. Routine, structured skin assessment ensures early recognition of changes and timely intervention.

Good skin care practices [Table 4] underpin all aspects of MARSI prevention. Together, these measures help maintain the resilience of the stratum corneum and reduce susceptibility to mechanical injury.

The role of sterile medical adhesive removers in MARSI prevention

Safe adhesive removal is important to MARSI prevention. The use of sterile medical adhesive removers, particularly in vulnerable populations or compromised skin, is strongly supported by clinical leaders.

By reducing the mechanical forces exerted on the skin during removal, these products support atraumatic practice and are particularly valuable in high-risk environments such as intensive care, paediatrics, oncology and postoperative care.

Appeel® Sterile (CliniMed) is a leading example.

Benefits of Appeel® Sterile Adhesive Remover

- Weakens the adhesive bond between the adhesive medical product/device and the skin
- Reduces shear, tension and skin stripping
- Enables removal on both intact and injured skin
- Reduces patient pain and anxiety
- Supports best practice in infection prevention and wound care.

Appeel® Sterile is sterile, non-sting, fast-drying

Table 4. Key elements of a good skin care regimen

Element	Key action
Skin assessment	Regular inspection and palpation to detect early signs of damage
Cleansing	Use pH-neutral cleansers/ emollients; avoid soaps and alcohol-based products
Protection	Apply barrier products and skin protection agents where indicated
Hydration and nutrition	Ensure adequate fluid intake and nutritional support
Handling	Pat the skin dry; avoid friction; protect from clothing or environmental trauma
Photoprotection	Implement appropriate sun-care measures where relevant

and residue-free, and can be used on both intact and injured skin. It's multiple formats, including a 360° spray for difficult-to-reach areas, 5ml sachets for larger dressings and precision applicator sticks for small device removal, enable flexible use across a range of clinical situations.

Implementation across clinical settings

MARSI prevention should be embedded within everyday practice across all healthcare environments, including theatres, ICUs, emergency departments, paediatric and adult wards, outpatient clinics, community nursing and home care. Regardless of setting, the principles remain consistent:

- Regular, structured assessment of skin integrity
- Avoidance of harsh cleansers and unnecessary adhesive use
- Maintenance of optimal hydration and nutrition
- Selection of the least traumatic adhesive compatible with clinical need
- Routine use of sterile adhesive removers during dressing or device removal.

Education is essential. MARSI can occur anywhere adhesive devices are used, from acute hospitals to primary care and the home. Access to a full range of dressings, barrier products and adhesive removers, alongside



Product	Areas of use	Tips for use	Additional features or benefits
Appeel Sterile Wipe	Removing adhesives from medical devices (e.g. NG tubes, retainer tapes)	Ideal for small dressing removal. Only use a wipe when the skin condition allows for the wiping action	Ensures sterility at the dressing or wound; intended for single-patient use
Appeel Sterile Foam Applicator	Precision removal around IV cannulae, central lines, and delicate skin areas (e.g. around the face, infants)	Easy for patients who prefer to be involved with their own remove their own dressing; patient can hold the stick handle without contaminating the foam	Easy for patients who prefer to be involved with their own adhesive device removal; ensures sterility at the dressing or wound site; single-patient use
Appeel Sterile Spray	Hard-to-reach wounds (e.g. heel, diabetic foot wounds); suitable for patients at home to maintain sterility	Comfortable application without a cold sensation; enables 360-degree coverage using "Bag-on-Valve" technology	Can be reused by a single patient; maintains sterility; excellent for hard-to-reach or sensitive wound sites
Appeel Sterile Liquid Sachet	Large, stubborn, permeable and non-permeable dressings; ensures fluid can flow underneath	Pinch-top design for controlled application; suitable for start-and-stop techniques	Cost-effective solution for removing large dressings efficiently; intended for single-patient use

time and training for safe application and removal, is fundamental to good practice.

When clinicians feel informed and supported, MARSI prevention becomes a realistic, sustainable standard rather than an aspirational goal.

MARSI = I

The mnemonic "MARSI = I" helps reinforce individual accountability:

- **I** assess the skin
- **I** choose the least traumatic adhesive
- **I** remove adhesives safely
- **I** report and document injury
- **I** prevent avoidable harm.

This emphasises that MARSI prevention is both a shared organisational priority and a personal clinical responsibility enacted at each patient interaction.

Conclusion

MARSI is a common yet preventable cause of harm, contributing to pain, delayed healing, psychological distress and increased healthcare costs. Under-recognition, misclassification and inconsistent reporting continue to obscure its true burden.

Reducing MARSI requires a deliberate shift in approach: every adhesive application and every removal carries risk and warrants careful consideration.

A proactive strategy, incorporating risk assessment, protection of skin integrity, judicious product selection and routine use of sterile adhesive removers can significantly reduce MARSI incidence and severity.

Appeel® Sterile demonstrates how sterile adhesive removers can be integrated into standard practice to support safe, gentle and effective removal across all skin types.

As awareness grows, the message is clear: **MARSI is preventable and prevention is everyone's responsibility.** MARSI begins with "I"—I recognise it, I report it and I take responsibility for protecting the skin at every stage of care. ●

References

Bakhamees BH, Alshehri MS, Aljubayri SN et al (2024) Adhesive-related skin injuries in adult ICU patients: uncovering prevalence and key risk factors - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *IJMDC* 8(12): 3723-3730

Collier M (2019). Minimising pain and medical adhesive related skin injuries in vulnerable patients. *British Journal of Nursing* 28(15): 26-32

Farris MK, Petty M, Hamilton J et al (2015) Medical Adhesive-Related Skin Injury Prevalence Among Adult Acute Care Patients: A Single-Center Observational Study. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 42(6): 589-98

Fumarola F, Allaway R, Callaghan R et al (2020) Overlooked and underestimated medical adhesive-related skin injuries. Best practice consensus document on prevention. *J Wound Care* 29(2): 1-24

Gao C, Yu C, Lin X, Wang H, Sheng Y (2020) Incidence of and Risk Factors for Medical Adhesive-Related Skin Injuries Among Patients: A Cross-sectional Study. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 47(6): 576-581

Hofman H, Beeckman D, Duljic T et al (2023) Patients' experiences with the application of medical adhesives to the skin: a qualitative systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open* 13(6): 073546

Kim MJ, Jang JM, Kim HK et al (2019) Medical Adhesives-Related Skin Injury in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit: A Single-Center Observational Study. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 46(6): 491-496

Irving V, Bethell E, Burton F (2006) Neonatal wound care: minimising pain and trauma. *Wounds UK* 2(1) 33-41

Voegeli D (2007) Factors that exacerbate skin breakdown and ulceration, In: Skin Breakdown, the silent epidemic. Smith and Nephew Foundation

Moncrieff G, Van Onsellen J, Young T (2015) The role of emollients in maintaining skin integrity. *Wounds UK* 11(1): 68-74

Şensoy Ö, Çağlar S, Aybi E, Erdoğan S (2025) What makes paediatric patients so much at risk of medical adhesive-related skin injury in intensive care unit? *Nursing in Critical Care* 30(4)

Wang D, Xu H, Chen S et al (2019) Medical Adhesive-Related Skin Injuries and Associated Risk Factors in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 32(4): 176-182

Wounds UK (2023) Medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARSI). Explained. Available at: https://wounds-uk.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/CLI23_EX_MARSI_WUK_web.pdf

Reflective question	Purpose
What is the definition of MARSI?	Reinforces understanding of the core concept and ensures consistent terminology
How can MARSI be differentiated from other skin injuries?	Supports accurate diagnosis and reduces risk of misclassification
What are the main risk factors that contribute to MARSI?	Encourages proactive risk assessment and early identification of vulnerable patients
What is the impact of MARSI on patient outcomes?	Highlights physical, psychological and economic consequences to motivate prevention